

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/671,141	Applicant(s) HUGHES, WILLIAM JAMES	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M. Hewitt.

(2) Rudolf Siegesmund.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Double Patenting Rejection

Claims discussed:

1 and 3

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. App. No. 10/633,471

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to file a terminal disclaimer to obviate a nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1 and 3 as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/633,471.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)